

UNITED ST S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/814,559	03/22/01	REDFORD	一	F TVINGOUIC EXAMINER
022862 GLENN PATEN 3475 EDISON SUITE L MENLO PARK	WAY	TM21/0924		ARIEUNILER, T PAPER NUMBER 2 DATEMARED:
				09/24/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/8/4/559

Examiner

Heckler

Group Art Unit
2182

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address— **Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 (HIVE) MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Status ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ___ ☐ This action is **FINAL**. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** ¥ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s)_ — is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) __ is/are allowed. is/are rejected. ➤ Claim(s) 🚣 ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s)- are subject to restriction or election requirement. **Application Papers** ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _______ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on______ is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_ ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). *Certified copies not received:___ Attachment(s) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____ ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other

Office Action Summary

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/814,559

Art Unit: 2182

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 1 indicates two appendices on microfiche; however, these microfiche are not in the application file.

Appropriate correction is required.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-24 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-24 of U. S. Patent No. 6,249,863 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject

Application/Control Number: 09/814,559 Page 3

Art Unit: 2182

matter, as follows: automatically loading an initialization file, detecting insertion of a storage media into a peripheral, checking the storage media for a file other than the initialization file, and starting up a process.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

4. Claims 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 9 line 3 it is not clear where checking is done for the file of a predetermined name.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. No copies have been provided since this art was cited in the parent or related cases and applicant should have copies.

Application/Control Number: 09/814,559

Art Unit: 2182

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom Heckler whose telephone number is (703) 305-9666.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

THOMAS M. HECKLER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Thomas been

Page 4

TH September 20, 2001